

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

<b>James H. Fetzer, Ph.D.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff</b>	)	Case No. _____
	)	
v	)	
	)	
<b>Leonard Pozner</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant</b>	)	

**PETITION TO OPEN SANCTION AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
FOR EXTRINSIC FRAUD**

NOW, COMES James H. Fetzer, Ph.D., pro se, to Petition to Vacate \$1.1 million dollars in awards entered against him by extrinsic fraud practiced upon him by United Nations controlled persons within the United States Government through their agent, Leonard Pozner, by false claims filed against me in the Circuit Court for Dane County, Wisconsin, in case number 18 CV 3122, *Pozner v Fetzer, et al.*, affirmed on appeal at 2021 WI App. 27, 397 Wis. 2d 243, 959 N. W. 89, (Wis. Ct. App. 2021), WI Sup Ct, cert denied, and United States Supreme Court, cert denied, and, in support thereof, states as follows:

**JURISDICTION**

1. This case is brought under the rule announced in the case of *United States v Throckmorton*, 98 U. S. 61 (1878) that fraud upon the court may be brought at

any time in any court when a party has been prevented from presenting a valid defense.<sup>1</sup>

2. It is also brought against a person guilty of treason against the United States in violation of 18 U.S. Code §2385—Advocating Overthrow of Government.
3. And by authority of this Court to supervise and direct the quality of the United States justice system pursuant to Article III, Section 1 of the United States Constitution.
4. And pursuant to Wisconsin Statute 806.07(2): “This section does not limit the power of a court to entertain an independent action to relieve a party from judgment, order, or proceeding, or to set aside a judgment for fraud on the court.”

#### PARTIES

5. James H. Fetzer, Ph.D., Plaintiff, resides at 800 Violet Lane, Oregon, WI 53575.
6. Leonard Pozner, purported father of Noah Pozner, who was present at the Sandy Hook crime scene, was photographed with his son prior to his son’s alleged murder on December 14, 2012. That photograph has appeared worldwide. The last known Connecticut address for this Leonard Pozner is 261 South Main Street, #332, Newtown, CT 06470. See the picture published on 02 May 2017 in the Guardian in an article authored by Hadley Freeman attached hereto as Exhibit A.<sup>2</sup> Notice that this photograph is “Courtesy Leonard Pozner”.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Bulloch v United States*, 763 F2d 1115, 1121 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985); *Appling v State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company*, 340 F3d 769, 781 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir 2003).

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2017/may/02/sandy-hook-school-hoax-massacre-conspiracists-victim-father> (last viewed 2-17-24)

7. A second Leonard Pozner who said he was the father of Noah Pozner appeared as a witness against me in the case of *Pozner v Fetzer, et al.* at case number 18 CV 3122 before the Circuit Court for Dane County, Wisconsin. This Pozner is in a business suit on the left in Exhibit A of the Affidavit of Wolfgang Halbig dated December 13, 2023, attached hereto as Exhibit B.
8. I took the deposition of the Leonard Pozner who appeared as the Plaintiff in the WI case against me in *Pozner v Fetzer, et al.* and hereby certify that the Pozner in the business suit in Exhibit A attached to the Affidavit of Wolfgang Halbig dated December 13, 2023 (attached hereto as Exhibit B) is the person who appeared as the Plaintiff against me. The address and real name for this Leonard Pozner is unknown but the address of his attorney of record, Jacob Zimmerman, is known: The Zimmerman Firm, LLC, 1043 Grand Avenue #255, Saint Paul, MN 55105; jake@zimmerman-firm.com. See my affidavit attached as Exhibit C.
9. Comparison of the crime scene Leonard Pozner with the Leonard Pozner whom I deposed in Madison, Wisconsin, establishes that they are two different persons and not one and the same.
10. On September 21, 2023, the Leonard Pozner from the crime scene was photographed at a traffic stop while being issued a speeding ticket in Florida. See paragraph 6 of affidavit of Wolfgang Halbig and photographs attached hereto as Exhibit B.

11. The Leonard Pozner sitting in an automobile while getting a speeding ticket current address is known. He resides at 155 Court Avenue, Unit 2510, Orlando, FL 32801, formerly of 261 South Main Street, #332, Newtown, CT 06470. This picture (of this Leonard Pozner in casual clothes) is not identified by exhibit number in the Halbig Affidavit but is attached as photograph three to the Affidavit of Wolfgang Halbig dated December 13, 2023 (attached hereto as Exhibit B).

### FACTS

12. The Court is requested to take judicial notice of a Worldwide scheme to establish a central government under the supervision of the United Nations ("UN"), which is commonly called the New World Order ("NWO").<sup>3</sup>
13. Among the NWO plans is for all people of the World to have the same standard of living and to be defended by a single military under UN control.
14. Because the people of the United States ("US") enjoy a superior standard of living and military, the UN expects them to oppose the imposition of the NWO plan that all people of the World are equal.
15. To reduce the risk of rebellion by the American people against the NWO plan, the UN and its agents, including the Leonard Pozner who appeared for a

---

<sup>3</sup> Slaughter, Anne-Marie, A New World Order, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 08540, (2004), ISBN 0-691-11698-9; Rectenwald, Michael, The Great Reset, World Encounter Institute, Nashville, TN 37215, (2023), ISBN 978-1-943003-74-7; Pierucci, Stephanie, Burn Back Better, Pierucci Publishing, Carbondale, CO 81623, (2023), ISBN 978-1-956257-98-4; J. Michael Thomas Hays, Rise of the New World Order: The Culling of Man, ISBN 978-0-9889820-4-9 (2013); – book 3, Samaritan Sentinel Publishing, Seattle, WA, (2023), ISBN 978-0-9889820-8-6; Coleman, Vernon, Their Terrifying Plan (Self-Published, July 2023).

deposition in the case of Pozner v. Fetzner, have initiated and covered-up disclosure of a "false flag" (staged) gun violence event commonly called Sandy Hook to justify the assertion that guns are dangerous and must be taken from the American people.

16. On May 13, 2019, while I was unrepresented by legal counsel, I agreed to a confidentiality order because I had been told that it would not inhibit or affect my use of the deposition to defend myself in my case.
17. Because I suspected that the Leonard Pozner who was deposed in my case was not the Leonard Pozner at the crime scene, I sought out Wolfgang Halbig as an impeachment witness and had the Leonard Pozner video deposition sent to him.
18. The Court found that my send of the Pozner video deposition was a violation of the confidentiality agreement. He required me to deliver my copy of the video deposition to my lawyer and restricted my use of it to prove the Pozner in my case was an expert witness and the one at the crime scene was a crises actor. They were two different people. The court then found my distribution of the Pozner deposition video had violated the confidentiality agreement and sanctioned me for \$650,000. This was a material denial of the preparation of my legal defense in violation of the extrinsic fraud standard announced in the Throckmorton case cited above.
19. Upon receipt of the Affidavit of Wolfgang W. Halbig dated December 13, 2023, I had new evidence of why the Court had taken drastic measures to prevent my use of the video deposition by Leonard Pozner in my case. It was to prevent my

discovery of evidence of the existence of two Pozners as documented in the Halbig Affidavit.

20. Judge Frank D. Remington found I defamed Pozner by Summary Judgment. He refused to honor the jury demand in this case and, although the 400+ page book that I co-edited, *Nobody Died at Sandy Hook* (2015; 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., 2016), was introduced into evidence, Judge Remington refused to allow specific facts in the book to be introduced into evidence. In addition, two expert opinions that the Noah Posner death certificate was fake were disregarded as mere opinions.
21. The official UN directed narrative alleged that the killer used a Remington Outdoor, Inc. manufactured Bushmaster semi-automatic weapon to murder six adults and 20 children and injure two additional adults at Sandy Hook Elementary School in Newtown, CT on December 14, 2012 ("Sandy Hook").
22. Without a trial by jury in the case of *Pozner v Fetzer, et al.*, before the Wisconsin Circuit Court--or in any other court in which the assertion was made that adults and children died and were injured at Sandy Hook--UN/NWO proponents were able to force Remington Outdoor, Inc. into bankruptcy to take away the ability of the American people to purchase the Bushmaster semi-automatic weapon and ammunition from the largest gun manufacturer in the United States. Remington Outdoor, Inc. has now been splintered into insignificant pieces.
23. Following World War I, President Woodrow Wilson gave a speech to outline the co-operation required among independent countries to sustain peaceful co-existence. His speech was the basis for the Treaty of Versailles which formed the

League of Nations in 1919. The League's plan, called The New World Order ("NWO"), included the delegation of military authority to the League. The league began operations on January 10, 1920.

24. The United States Senate refused to ratify the Treaty of Versailles because it would have required the US to abdicate its right to use military force in its defense to the judgment of the League.
25. The League was deemed a total failure when during World War II US President Harry Truman dropped two atomic bombs on Japan.
26. This nuclear attack sent shock waves among the leaders of the World. Because the US had refused to ratify the Treaty of Versailles, US involvement in NWO peace plans were covertly developed in secret.
27. All the World's governments agreed to transfer League powers, functions, library, and other assets to the United Nations and cause all countries to join, including the US, Russia, Japan, and Germany. The League was publicly disbanded on April 19, 1946.
28. The UN Charter was adopted on June 25, 1945, subject to ratification, by representatives of 51 or more member countries. The U. S. ratified its membership in the UN on August 8, 1945. Ratification of the five permanent members of the security council and more than 51 countries was completed on October 24, 1945, and the UN began operations. Currently, 193 Nations of the World participate in UN proceedings.

29. UN efforts to eliminate the superior standard of living and military power of the US, including the elimination of its borders, began with no public announcement from the UN or US officials.
30. At some unknown date, UN officials decided that the population of the Earth had to be reduced to 500 million people, that food and energy sources had to be controlled and that people had to live in Smart Cities with digital identification, cashless, and social credit scores. All peoples' standard of living had to be equal for their vision of a one World government to be successful.
31. Population reduction was initiated in the form of murder and injury by lethal injection of Covid-19 vaccine. That program is currently in progress.<sup>4</sup>
32. The American people are expected to rebel once they recognize UN/NWO goals apply to them. The UN, and many of those in authority the UN controls in the US, believe the American people must be disarmed to prevent insurrection and anarchy in response to the implementation of the NWO plans against them.
33. On September 11, 1990, US President George H. W. Bush gave his State of the Union address to a joint session of Congress attended by Justices of this Court to announce his vision of the NWO (including the violent and manipulated surrender of the US to a central government to be administered by the UN) as follows:

“We stand today at a unique and extraordinary moment. The crisis in the Persian Gulf, as grave as it is, also offers a rare opportunity to move toward an historic period of cooperation. Out of these troubled

---

<sup>4</sup> Kennedy, Robert F. Jr., *The Wuhan Cover-up*, Skyhorse Publishing, Inc., NY, NY 10018, (2023), ISBN 978-1510773981; McCullough, Peter A., M.D., Leake, John, *The Courage to face Covid-19*, Counter Play Books, Internet Publisher, (May 4, 2022), ISBN 979-8986236308.



times, our fifth objective—a new world order—can emerge: a new era—freer from the threat of terror, stronger in the pursuit of justice, and more secure in the quest for peace. An era in which the nations of the world, East and West, North and South, can prosper and live in harmony.”

34. No member of the US Congress or this Court objected to President Bush’s NWO vision.
35. Petitioner believes, and therefor asserts, that no member of the US Congress or this Court knew in 1990, and most likely do not know now, that the NWO vision includes the surrender of the US Standard of living in order to be equal to that of all other people of the World, the abdication of US military defense to the UN, the reduction of US population by murder by vaccine lethal injection and destruction of US food supplies, the creation of US smart cities (including the use of direct energy weapons to destroy existing cities), or “false flags” staged events to cause American citizens to surrender their free speech, informed consent to medical treatment, gun ownership, and the destruction of the US borders to facilitate membership in a one World UN controlled central government known as the New World Order.
36. On December 14, 2012, The U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency conducted a Site Activation Call-down Drill. See FEMA Exercise Plan attached as Exhibit D. At some point in time, this mock drill (in which no one died) was converted into a fraud against the American people and its judicial system with the claim that 6 adults and 20 children were killed and two adults injured.

37. The fraud against the court began between February 7, 2013 and December 11, 2014, when Donna L. Soto, Administrator of the Estate of Victoria L. Soto (case # 13-00070), Nicole Hockley, co-administrators of the estate of Dylan C. Hockley (case # 14-0564); William Sherlach, executor of the estate of Mary J. Sherlach (case # 13-00062); Leonard Pozner, administrator of the estate of Noah S. Pozner (case # 14-0589); Gilles J. Rousseau, administrator of the estate of Lauren G. Rousseau; David C. Wheeler, administrator of the estate of Benjamin A. Wheeler (case # 14-0567); Neil Heslin and Scarlett Lewis, co-administrators of the estate of Jesse McCord Lewis (case # 13-0048); Mark and Jacqueline Barden, co-administrators of the estate of Daniel G. Barden (case # 14-0577); and Mary D'Avino, administratrix of the estate of Rachel M. D'Avino (case # 13-0036) opened probate estates in the State of Connecticut, Court of Probate, Region #22 Probate District, for the above alleged decedents.

38. On January 26, 2015, the fraud on the court was continued by the filing by Donna L. Soto, Administrator of the Estate of Victoria L. Soto, Nicole Hockley, co-administrators of the estate of Dylan C. Hockley; William Sherlach, executor of the estate of Mary J. Sherlach; Leonard Pozner, administrator of the estate of Noah S. Pozner; Gilles J. Rousseau, administrator of the estate of Lauren G. Rousseau; David C. Wheeler, administrator of the estate of Benjamin A. Wheeler; Neil Heslin and Scarlett Lewis, co-administrators of the estate of Jesse McCord Lewis; Mark and Jacqueline Barden, co-administrators of the estate of Daniel G. Barden; and Mary D'Avino, administratrix of the estate of Rachel M.

D'Avino, of a complaint for damages against Bushmaster Firearms International, LLC, et al., in the Superior Court of Connecticut at case number UWY-CV-15-60520025-S.

39. The Plaintiffs alleged that the persons they represent were murdered on the morning of December 14, 2012, at Sandy Hook Elementary School, Newtown, CT.
40. On April 14, 2016, by unpublished opinion, Ct. Superior Court Judge Barbar N. Bellis granted the Defendants' Motions to Dismiss. Her decision was overruled on appeal and the case remanded for trial. *Soto, et al v. Bushmaster Firearms International, LLC, et al*, 331 Conn 53, 202 A. 3d 262 (2019), *cert denied*, 547 U. S. 1111, 126 S. Ct. 1913.
41. On July 27, 2020, Remington Outdoor Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries and affiliates, which included Bushmaster Firearms International, LLC, filed for bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Alabama, at case number 20-81688-CRJ11.
42. On March 18, 2021, The State of Wisconsin, Court of Appeals, District IV, issued its opinion that the sanctions of \$650,000 and damages of \$450,000 against James H. Fetzler, Ph.D., were entered based on the following:

“On December 14, 2012, a mass shooting occurred at Sandy Hook Elementary School in Newtown, Connecticut.<sup>3</sup> Tragically, twenty-six people were killed, including six staff members and twenty children who were aged six and seven. See, e.g., *Jones v. Heslin*, No. 03-19-00811-CV, 2020 WL 1452025, at \*1, \*4 (Tex. Ct. App. Mar. 25, 2020) (stating “Neil Heslin’s son ... was killed in the Sandy Hook Elementary School Shooting in December 2012” and rejecting the substantial truth doctrine as a basis to dismiss Heslin’s defamation claim related to

statements disputing Heslin's assertion that he held his deceased son in his arms); *Soto v. Bushmaster Firearms Int'l, LLC*, 202 A.3d 262, 272 (Conn. 2019) ("On December 14, 2012, twenty year old Adam Lanza forced his way into Sandy Hook Elementary School in Newtown and, during the course of 264 seconds, fatally shot twenty first grade children and six staff members, and wounded two other staff members."). Pozner's six-year-old son, N., was one of the children killed during the Sandy Hook shooting."

2021 WI App. 27, 397 Wis. 2d 243, 959 N. W. 89, (Wis. Ct. App. 2021).

43. Neither the *Jones v Heslin* nor the *Soto v Bushmaster* cases were decided by a trial by jury on the merits. To the contrary, they were decided on preliminary motions. The citation by the WI Appellate Court in the decision against Fetzer was a furtherance of the extrinsic fraud practiced upon the court and Fetzer.
44. On September 10, 2021, Fetzer filed a Motion to Intervene in the case of *Soto, et al v Bushmaster, et al*, which is attached as Exhibit E.
45. On September 20, 2021, Remington filed its objection to Fetzer's Motion to Intervene in *Soto v Bushmaster* which is attached as Exhibit F.
46. On September 22, 2021, Judge Bellis denied the Fetzer Motion to Intervene.
47. On September 24, 2021, Fetzer filed a Motion to Intervene in the Remington bankruptcy to present evidence that nobody died at Sandy Hook attached as Exhibit G.
48. On September 27, 2021, without objection from attorneys for the Remington Creditors committee to the Fetzer Motion to Intervene, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Fetzer Motion.
49. On May 16, 2022, the Plaintiff's withdrew the *Soto v Bushmaster* case as settled.

## ARGUMENT

The Leonard Pozner who appeared at the deposition in the Pozner v Fetzer case in Wisconsin is a professional witness and a different person from the person who represented himself to be Leonard Pozner at the crime scene and in the Florida traffic stop. Compare the pictures of Leonard Pozner from the crime scene in Exhibit A to this Petition with the Leonard Pozner I deposed in Pozner v Fetzer on the left-hand side in a business suit in Exhibit A of the affidavit of Wolfgang Halbig attached to this Petition as Exhibit B. The Leonard Pozner from the crime scene was photographed on September 21, 2023, to allow comparison with the photograph of the Leonard Pozner who appeared for the deposition.

Until I received the affidavit of Wolfgang Halbig, I was prevented by a court order issued in the Pozner v Fetzer case from the possession or distribution of the video deposition of Leonard Pozner. My defenses were prohibited and denied by the Wisconsin trial court. Now the fraud upon that court is known (see paragraph 6 of the Affidavit of Wolfgang Halbig attached hereto as Exhibit B), the false sanctions and judgment against me must be vacated and the case remanded for a new trial.

The Pozner at the traffic stop and the Pozner at the crime scene are the same and are different from the Pozner who appeared for the deposition in Pozner v Fetzer. It now has likewise become obvious to me that the Pozner in my case knew there was more than one Leonard Pozner when he filed a motion to prevent the distribution of his photograph. The reason to prevent the distribution of Pozner's picture was because there was more than one of them, which was unknown to me at

the time of the grant of the Pozner Motion for Summary Judgement on June 20, 2019.

Without reading my co-edited book or allowing me to provide facts, Judge Remington made the unjustified assertion during the Pozner Summary Judgment argument that the facts in the book were unreasonable. He also mistakenly asserted the expert affidavits submitted to opine that the Noah death certificate was fake were merely opinion.

He entered a summary judgement against me rather than submit the facts to a jury as required by due process when there are disputed facts and there is a jury demand. There were massively disputed facts and there was a jury trial demand. This departure from the rule that summary judgment may only be granted when there are no disputed questions of fact is sufficient to find that extrinsic fraud against the court was committed in the Pozner case against me.

The failure of Remington Outdoor and Alex Jones to put forth a defense to the Soto, et al, claims combined with Remington Creditors Committee and the Remington Soto trial lawyers' objections to Fetzner's Motions to Intervene establish the breath of the extrinsic fraud against the courts in furtherance of the UN plan and its agents within the US Government to disarm the American people currently in progress.

The connection between the UN takeover of the United States, the alleged Sandy Hook massacre, and the Pozner claims against me were unknown to me at the time of the summary judgment hearing in my WI case on June 20, 2019.

These facts are new evidence that justify the reopening of the awards against me because of the extrinsic fraud practiced against the court by the Leonard Pozner who appeared in the case of *Pozner v Fetzer, et al.*, in the Circuit Court of Dane County, Wisconsin, at case number 18 CV 3122.

This Court is requested to take judicial notice of the use of the internet to research issues. Historically, US government control of the media, including YouTube, prevented presentation of facts that were contrary to US government narratives. But recently, the US government has lost control of its censorship ability.

Hundreds of YouTube videos exposing the Sandy Hook event as fraudulent have been taken down to keep the public from becoming aware of the scam. But some are now available. A few recent examples include:

(a) The Sandy Hook Shooting Fully Exposed (2013):

<https://rumble.com/v348oj3-the-sandy-hook-shooting-fully-exposed-2013.html>

Last viewed on February 27, 2024.

(b) We Need to Talk about Sandy Hook (2014):

<https://rumble.com/v34wgbe-we-need-to-talk-about-sandy-hook-full-video-in-higher-quality-independentme.html> Last viewed on February 27, 2024.

And a current article about mainstream media lies that includes an analysis of the crisis actors used in the Sandy Hook alleged shooting:

<https://henrymakow.com/2024/02/mike-stone-gaslighting-is-the-msm-purpose.html>

Last viewed on February 27, 2024.

The court is also requested to take Judicial Notice of the U. S. Government mandate and recommendation of Covid-19 vaccines upon the American people that were untested for effectiveness and safety that produced excessive deaths and injury in furtherance of the UN plan for population reduction and the forced acceptance of a central government.

This Petition urges acceptance to afford the US legal system the opportunity to take action to preserve its integrity and to correct the extrinsic fraud and to preserve the freedoms enjoyed by the American people by protections afforded by freedom of speech and the right to keep and bear arms.

#### **REMEDY REQUESTED**

The prior denial of my Petition for Certiorari filed at case number 21-7916 must be reversed because of the extrinsic fraud against the court by the appearance of a Pozner who was not at the crime scene as the witness against me. The \$650,000 sanctions for disclosure of the Pozner video deposition as well as the \$450,000 judgement against me must be vacated and the case remanded for a trial on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,



James H. Fetzer, Ph.D.  
800 Violet Lane  
Oregon, WI 53575  
(608) 835-2707  
jfetzer@d.umn.edu

Submitted February 27, 2024.